[Download Setup & Crack](#)

- Random! - Beautiful locationimport { assert } from 'chai'; import { WrappedFn, noop, } from '..'; import { bind } from '../../src/function'; describe('Function.prototype.bind()', function () { it('should allow bound function to call original function', function () { const fn = function () { assert.fail('should not happen'); }; const bound = bind(fn, noop); bound(function () { fn(); }); }); it('should allow bound function to be called multiple times', function () { const x = { foo: true, bar: false, }; const original = function (x) { assert.isObject(x); assert.isNull(x.foo); assert.isFalsy(x.bar); }; const bound = bind(original, noop); bound(function (x) { original({ foo: true }); original({ bar: true }); }); }); }); Washington (CNN) -- We've learned that one of President Obama's key problems with Republicans on the budget is that they simply don't like his ideas. Why else do the House of Representatives and Senate have such different plans for the budget? It's not simply that the House wants to cut spending; House Speaker Nancy Pelosi has made it plain that the main item of concern is the "sequester" spending cuts. And conservatives have made it clear: The House plan is unacceptable. The Senate, though, has a way out. By eliminating the sequester spending cuts -- without cutting spending overall -- the Senate has the best chance of achieving the spending cuts it favors. That's what Majority Leader Harry Reid is doing. And, with the unemployment rate at 7.6 percent and with job creation at an all-time low, it's a winning plan. By all means -- let's cut spending. But

Features Key:

More than 20 enemies